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Introduction and Background

• Juvenile Chinook salmon experience greater mortality when migrating into Georgiana Slough than in the Sacramento River (Perry 2010)

• Movement and/or diversion of these fish into the interior and south Delta increases the likelihood of losses (Brandes and McLain 2001; Perry 2010; NMFS 2009)

• NMFS BO RPA:
  – Action IV.1.3 Consider Engineering Solutions to Further Reduce Diversion of Emigrating Juvenile Salmonids to the Interior and Southern Delta, and Reduce Exposure to CVP and SWP Export Facilities
Study Purpose and Objectives

• Purpose:
  – Test the effectiveness of a non-physical barrier in preventing outmigrating juvenile Chinook salmon from entering Georgiana Slough

• Objectives:
  – Estimate the effectiveness of the barrier
  – Determine relative contribution of various factors influencing barrier effectiveness
    • water velocity, ambient light (day/night), cross stream fish position in the Sacramento River
  – Observe the behavior, movements, and response of predatory fish near the barrier
Fish Guidance Technology

• Non-Physical Barrier Utilizing Bio-Acoustic Fish Fence™ (BAFF) Technology

• BAFF combines three stimuli:
  – sound
  – high-intensity modulated light
  – bubble curtain

• Advantages include:
  – Tune sound frequencies for specific species of fish
  – Minimal impact on boat traffic
  – Minimal hydraulic impact
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BAFF Operations at Night
Primary Study Components

- BAFF in blocked On and Off modes (25 hour)
- 1,501 Chinook salmon & 299 steelhead
- Released March 6, 2012 to April 29, 2012
- Releases made ~8.9 kilometers upstream of the BAFF
- Tagged salmonids monitored via array (2D & 3D) and nodes (detection)
- 50 predatory fish also tagged and monitored
- Hydrologic and other conditions monitored
  - Discharge: 30,000 cfs peak (10,000 to 20,000 cfs)
Peripheral Hydrophones 3 and 4: Steamboat Slough

Peripheral Hydrophones 1 and 2: Sacramento River Upstream

Fish Release Location

Peripheral Hydrophones 5 and 6: Sacramento River at Ryde Hotel

Hydrophone Array and Non-Physical Barrier Site

Peripheral Hydrophones 7 and 8: Georgiana Slough Downstream of Barrier
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Hydrophone Array and other Data Collection Instrument Locations
Approach to Analysis

• Fish detections and tracking

• Study evaluation metrics
  – Barrier efficiencies
  – Route entrainment probabilities
  – GLM of tagged fish fates
  – Critical streakline analysis
  – Predatory fish and predation
  – Reach-specific survival probabilities
Representative 2D Fish Tracks (smolts)
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Results – Barrier Efficiencies

• Chinook salmon

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comparison Metrics</th>
<th>BAFF On Mean</th>
<th>BAFF Off Mean</th>
<th>Percentage Point Change in Efficiency</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deterrence</td>
<td>0.561</td>
<td>0.409</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protection</td>
<td>0.890</td>
<td>0.746</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>0.897</td>
<td>0.752</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.2-3

• Steelhead
  – Similar efficiencies for BAFF On versus BAFF Off; however, sample size not powered for statistical analysis
Results

- BAFF Deterrence (D) Contribution to Overall Efficiency Under High and Low Velocity
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- Low Velocity:
  - Overall Efficiency: 94.2%
  - Change in D: 11.7%

- High Velocity:
  - Overall Efficiency: 59.1%
  - Change in D: 41.4%
Results

• Predation
  – Estimated predation of tagged salmonids in the array during BAFF operations:
    • 6.3% (total array)
    • 2.3% (within 80m of BAFF)
    • <1% (within 5m of BAFF)
Discussion and Conclusions

- BAFF performance as measured by differences in barrier efficiencies were statistically significant and demonstrated a fish behavioral response to the BAFF
  - BAFF efficiency results varied most notably as a function of river velocity
- BAFF reduced entrainment into Georgiana Slough from 24.8% (BAFF Off) to 10.3% (BAFF On) — > 50% reduction
  - Entrainment varied most notably with cross stream fish position and velocity
- Not sufficient evidence to suggest predator behavior was related to BAFF
Recommendations and Future Directions

• Review and evaluate other technologies in deterring juvenile salmon from entering Georgiana Slough

• Assess effect on technologies on through-Delta survival

• 2014 Floating Fish Guidance Structure Study
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